

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.aupto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/872,713 06/01/2001		Yasuhiro Kawaguchi	ADACHI P214US	4248
20210	7590 06/02/2004		EXAMINER	
DAVIS & BUJOLD, P.L.L.C.			YOON, TAE H	
FOURTH FLO			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
500 N. COMMERCIAL STREET MANCHESTER, NH 03101-1151			1714	

DATE MAILED: 06/02/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

7	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	09/872,713	KAWAGUCHI, YASUHIRO			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Tae H Yoon	1714			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.15 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  If the period for reply specified above is least than thirty (30) days, a reply  If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim  within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will exply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication.			
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 21 M	ay 2004.	3 //			
	action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under E	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	53 O.G. 213.			
Disposition of Claims					
4) Claim(s) 11-14,16,17 and 19 is/are pending in 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 11-14, 16, 17 and 19 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	wn from consideration.				
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine	epted or b) objected to by the l drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Section is required if the drawing(s) is ob-	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign     a) All b) Some * c) None of:     1. Certified copies of the priority document     2. Certified copies of the priority document     3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority document     application from the International Burea     * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. Is have been received in Applicat rity documents have been receive u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ion No ed in this National Stage			
Attachment(s)					
1) ☑ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4)				
S. Patent and Trademark Office	-N C	ort of Paper No /Mail Date 20040527			

Art Unit: 1714

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 11-14, 16, 17 and 19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

The recited abbreviation, EPDM, in claim 19 is indefinite and a full chemical name such as ethylene-propylene-diene terpolymer is needed in the first occurrence.

The recited "organic material" of claim 12 and "wherein the organic material is an olefin resin" of claim 14 lack antecedent basis and improperly broaden the scope of claim 19. Cancellation of claim 19 and substitution of said "organic material" of claim 12 with "EPDM" is suggested.

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

Art Unit: 1714

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 11-13 and 19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as anticipated by or, in the alternative, under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as obvious over Paeglis et al (US 5,569,516).

Paeglis et al teach a composition comprising unvulcanized EPDM and carbon black in examples 1-5 and table II and at col. 5, lines 21-60 and col. 7, lines 61-65. For example, example 3 having about 43 wt% of low melting EPDM (42 °C) and 57 wt% of high melting EPDM (121 °C) would be plasitized inherently at 30-65 °C and 60°C under the recited pressure.

Thus, the instant invention lacks novelty.

Claims 11-13 and 19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as anticipated by or, in the alternative, under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as obvious over Davis et al (US 5,804,661).

Davis et al teach a composition comprising unvulcanized EPDM and carbon black and zinc oxide in tables II and III. Such composition is taught as curable at temperature of at least 60 °C (col. 7, lines 58-65), and thus said composition would be plasiticized inherently at 30-50 °C, for example. Other recited properties are inherently present in said composition.

Thus, the instant invention lacks novelty.

Art Unit: 1714

Claims 11-13, 16, 17 and 19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as anticipated by or, in the alternative, under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as obvious over Nguyen (US 6,451,422).

Nguyen has been discussed in previous office actions, and applicant asserts that Nguyen does not teach unvulcanized EPDM. However, Nguyen teaches said EPDM at col. 2, line 20 contrary to applicant's assertion, and the teaching of the reference is not limited to working example. Thus, the use of said EPDM instead of Trilene CP40 in examples is anticipated.

Thus, the instant invention lacks novelty.

Claims 11-13, 16, 17 and 19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Duvall et al (US 6,391,442) in view of Nguyen (US 6,451,422) or Paeglis et al (US 5,569,516).

Duvall et al has been discussed in previous office actions, and applicant asserts that Duvall et al do not teach unvulcanized EPDM. However, Duvall et al teach employing a synthetic rubber at col. 6, line 3, and the instant unvulcanized EPDM is the art well known synthetic rubber as taught by Nguyen (col. 2, line 20) and Paeglis et al (col. 5, lines 21-60).

It would have been obvious to one skilled in the art at the time of invention to utilize the art well known EPDM of Nguyen or Paeglis et al in Duvall et al since Duvall et al teach employing any synthetic rubber which encompasses EPDM.

Art Unit: 1714

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Tae H Yoon whose telephone number is (571) 272-1128. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Thu.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Vasu Jagannathan can be reached on (571) 272-1119. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Tae H Yoon
Primary Examiner
Art Unit 1714

THY/May 27, 2004